

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/045,140

**Applicant(s)**

HUNG, CHIEN-LUNG

**Examiner**

Robert J. Warden, Sr.

**Art Unit**

1744

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert J. Warden, Sr.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Morton Rosenberg.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Rosenberg called to find out why 10/045,140 was taking so long to issue. Mr. Warden discovered that there was a Printer Rush message sent (to an examiner who had left the Office) that indicated that the index of claims indicated only 7 claims were present in the application but the Notice of Allowance indicated that claims 1-11 were allowed. The Notice of Allowance should have read 1-7 not 1-11. Mr. Warden will fax Mr. Rosenberg corrected papers and inform Publications that there are only 7 claims allowed not 11..